EVALUATION OF THE KNOWLEDGE REGARDING CORRELATION BETWEEN BODY POSTURE, PAIN AND OCCLUSION AMONGST THE PRACTICING DENTISTS OF NAVI MUMBAI – QUESTIONNAIRE BASED STUDY

Mridula N Joshi, * Anushri D Kulkarni, ** Reema Srichand ***

* Reader, Department of Prosthodontics, Bharti Vidyapeeth Dental college and Hospital, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

** Prosthodontics, 1002, Orchid, Vasant Park Complex, Gandhare Village, Kalyan (W), Maharashtra, India

*** Senior Lecturer, Department of Prosthodontics, Bharti Vidyapeeth Dental College, Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Aim and Objective: Maximum care, through interdisciplinary approach has become primary aim of practice. The aim of the study was to analyse Knowledge regarding correlation between body posture, pain and occlusion amongst the practicing dentists of Navi Mumbai. Methodology: A survey was conducted to analyse knowledge amongst practising dentists about correlation between body posture, pain and occlusion. It was concluded that by including postural considerations in our diagnosis we can treat the patient in a multidisciplinary collaboration and care for overall health and well being of patient. It is an ethical obligation to acknowledge connection of occlusion to TMJ disorders, Myofacial pain, Musculoskeletal pain within our scope of care to eliminate pathologic influences of occlusal signalling. Results: If a patient reports with post restorative TMJ disorder or musculoskeletal pain, 85 % dentists then consider referral to a prosthodontist for a better treatment, but still extremely little consideration by less than 10 % dentists is given for referral to physiotherapists, psychiatrists, chiropractors, orthopedicians, osteopathic physician, sacral therapists. Conclusion: In conclusion only 21 % dentists were aware about interdisciplinary approach in treating patients with severe malocclusion, TMJ disorders, Musculoskeletal and myofacial pain and posture of body.

KEYWORDS: Posture, TMJ disorder, myofacial pain, occlusal disharmony

INTRODUCTION

There is an increased awareness about medical conditions and management not only amongst clinicians but also patients. Interdisciplinary approach is required to treat any patient reporting to clinic. Optimum care and longevity of treatment has become primary aim of practice. Need has now come for dental team to work in collaboration with medical team to provide complete care. This paper intends to stress the need for multidisciplinary approach for treating who require extensive occlusal patients correction. P Amat^[1] carried out a review of literature of evidence of correlation between body posture and occlusion and has put forth existence of suggestive implications. Changes in position of mandible influences body posture.^[2] Body posture has effect on position of mandible with exception of centric relation and occlusion with maximum intercuspation, localization and reproducibility of both are not affected.^[3,4] Head posture influences occlusion.^[5,6] Head posture influences trajectories of closing and position of initial occlusal contacts.^[7-10] But Munhoz et al., doesn't agree with the hypothesis that body posture provokes or aggrevates masticatory discrepancy.^[11] But sample size used here was small and postural variable were many. Cervical postures appear to be strongly correlated with structural variations in saggital and vertical dimensions of face.[12-26] Along with these positive studies there are a large number of studies which donot support corelation between body posture and occlusion. But their number is far less than those with positive findings, and hence, they should make clinicians consider advisabitlity of integrating evaluation and treatment of postural defects at the same time they are correcting discrepancies in masticatory system. Kraus H et al., Huggare JA and Raustia

AM through their studies support correlation found between temporomandibular joint disorder, body posture and pain.^[27-29] Travel JG, simons DG and Watson DH, Trot PH through their studies found correlation between body posture, and pain.^[30] The aim of the study was to analyse Knowledge regarding correlation between body posture, pain and occlusion amongst the practicing dentists of Navi Mumbai.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Total of 50 practising dentists in dental college of Navi Mumbai were included in the study. Evaluation was done through a survey for comprising 26 questions. Statistical analysis was done by Percentage evaluation.

RESULTS

All dentists were aware about correlation between TMJ disorders and malocclusion. Inspite of being aware only 46% evaluated TMJ before treating malocclusion. 59% dentists were aware about correlation between myofacial pain and malocclusion. Inspite of being aware only around 29% evaluated myofacial pain before treating malocclusion. 82% dentists are aware about correlation between musculoskeletal pain and malocclusion, however only 35% evaluated pain musculoskeletal before treating malocclusion. 70% dentists were unaware about influence of body posture on occlusion. Amongst those who were aware only 23% evaluated body posture before treating malocclusion. When case of malocclusion reports to clinic, 68% treat it themselves with individual approach, whereas 42% refer to prosthodontist or an orthodontist, with least consideration given for referral to orthopedician and physiotherapist or psychiatrist and chiropractors. Only 30% dentists refer cases of TMJ disorders to prosthodontists for treatment with 30% dentists referring such patients to physiotherapists and oral surgeons. No considerations found for referral to psychiatrists and chiropractors. Only 50% dentists refer cases of severe attrition and bruxism to prosthodontists, again little consideration given for referral to psychiatrists, physiotherapists, and chiropractors. 66% treat cases all by individual approach. For a patient with musculoskeletal or myofacial pain, 70% dentists considered referral to psychiatrists, physiotherapists, with only 30% considering referral to prosthodontist for treatment of occlusion. Amongst all the dentists who have an

individual approach for treating patients with malocclusion or TMJ disorders or myofacial pain, 52% do not perform facebow transfer, 85% don't refine occlusion after cementation of single crowns, 5% do not refine occlusion after cementation of multiple unit fixed partial denture. Amongst those who refine occlusion, 20% dentists grind either fossa, or cusp and 20% keep the prosthesis out of occlusion. 30% dentist do not have follow up of their treated cases. If a patient reports with post restorative TMJ disorder or musculoskeletal pain, 85% dentists then consider referral to a prosthodontist for a better treatment, but still extremely little consideration by less than 10% dentists is given for referral to physiotherapists, psychiatrists, chiropractors, orthopedicians, osteopathic physician, sacral therapists. In conclusion only 21% dentists were aware about interdisciplinary approach in treating patients with severe malocclusion, TMJ disorders, Musculoskeletal and myofacial pain and posture of body.

DISCUSSION

Evolution is the greatest boon to mankind. Bipedal human beings have evolved from quadrupedal form. Greatest change is seen in posture of body. Quadrupeds demonstrated independent relationship between jaw and head posture. Spine was suspension bridge supported in front by skull hanging from shoulders and jawbone hanging from skull. In bipeds up righting of spinal column took place to form 'S' shaped curve; bony skull bent 90 degrees in middle so that front half stayed in level with ground and back half on top of spinal column. Stability of posture was maintained by muscles, which exerted traction from all around body, around periphery of head. On sides they attached mastoid process to shoulders, neck muscles. Shoulders attached to pelvis, which was reshaped with concave front surface. Behind attachment of muscles was onto thick occipital prominences and all over spine. In front muscles attached to chest and clavicle, which pulled hyoid bone which pulled down mandible, which was attached to zygoma and temporal bone. Thus all the muscles of body are attached to bones to balance head over spinal column, which work in unison. Any disturbance in one component can compensate by change in other component. Mandible is attached anteriorly to skull through teeth and posteriorly

through TMJ. Change in position of mandible is compensated in occlusion through teeth or at TMJ. Centric relation controls posture of mandible and all eccentric movements begin at this position. Excessively retruded centric relation position can cause forward head posture by evoking adaptation to protect airway. When head is centered on top of spinal column it is balanced, when it shifts forward bending forces are produces all along length of spine; Inner portion of shoulders shift forward and rotate inwards and outer portion stick out. Realignment of all the structures below takes place with pelvis rotating down and in front to thrust abdomen forward under head and chest sinks back. Every centimeter forward head posture triples muscle tension which function as myofacial chains and put strain on intervertebral joints. Muscles of posture are first affected and then muscles farthest from source are affected. Muscles cannot relax fully giving rise to trigger spots of pain due to impaired resting circulation. The pain remains even after original cause is removed and is farthest away from source. Forward head posture pulls mandible towards maxilla decreasing freeway space, shortening suprahyoid muscles, and stretching infrahyoid muscles creating tension in muscles of mastication leading to bruxism and attrition of teeth. It is extremely important to evaluate and treat posture simultaneously while treating attrition.

CONCLUSION

Thus by including postural considerations in our diagnosis we can treat the patient in a multidisciplinary collaboration and care for overall health and well being of patient. It is an ethical obligation to acknowledge connection of occlusion to TMJ disorders, Myofacial pain, Musculoskeletal pain within our scope of care to eliminate pathologic influences of occlusal signalling.Prudent referral to Psychiatrists, Physiotherapist, Chiropractors, Osteopathic Physicians and Saccral-occipital Therapists should be the part of team approach to help treat and rule out pathologies out of scope of dentists. Even more extensive studies can be carried out in this field and holistic approach adopted for overall wellbeing of our patients ..

CONFLICT OF INTEREST & SOURCE OF FUNDING

The author declares that there is no source of funding and there is no conflict of interest among all authors.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- P Amat. Occlusion, orthodontics and posture: are there evidences? The example of scoliosis. International Journal of Stomatology and Occlusal Medicine 2009:2-102.
- 2. Sakaguchietal examination of relation between maxillomandibular position and body posture. Cranio 2007;25: 237-49.
- Campos AA, Nathson D, Rose L. reproducibility of condylar position and physiologic centric relation in upright and supine body posture. JPD 1996;76:282-7.
- Tripodakis AP, Smulow, Mehta NR, Clark RE. Clinical study of location and reproducibility of 3 mandibular position in relation to body and muscle function. JPD 1995;73:190-8.
- Salonen MA, Raustia AM, Huggare J. Head and cervical spine postures in complete denture wearers. J Craniomandib Pract 1993;11:30-3.
- Santander H. Influence of stabilisationocclusal splint on craniocervical relationships. Part II: Electromyographicanalysis. J Craniomandib Pract 1994;12:227-33.
- 7. Brenman HS, Amsterdam M. Postural effects on occlusion. Dent Prog 1963;4:43-7.
- Chapman RJ. Occlusal contact variation with changes in head position. Int J Prosthodont 1991;4:377-81.
- McLean LF, Brenman HS, Friedman MGF. Effects of changing body position on dental occlusion. J Dent Res 1973;52:1041-5.
- 10. Solow B, Sandham A. Cranio-cervical posture: a factor in the development and function of the dentofacial structures. Eur J Orthod 2002;24:447-56.
- 11. Munhoz WC, Marques AP, de Siqueira JT. Evaluation of body posture in individuals with internal temporomandibular joint derangement. Cranio 2005;23:269-77.
- Bacon W, Turlot JC, Blaise M. La reproductibilité de la posture naturelle de la tête et son implication dansl'organisation de l'architecturecranio-faciale. Rev Orthop Dento-Faciale 1989;23:277-86.

- Ben-Bassat Y, Yitschaky M, Kaplan L, Brin
 I. Occlusal patterns in patients with idiopathic scoliosis. Am J Orthod
- 14. Bodin CG, Duguet V, Hervé F. Diagnostic des latérognathies mandibulaires. Intérêt de la butée occlusaled' Abjean. Rev Orthop DentoFaciale 1992;26:81-6.

Dentofacial Orthop 2006;130:629-33.

15. Bracco P, Deregibus A, Piscetta R, Ferrario G. Observations on the correlation between posture and jaw position: a pilot study. Cranio 1998;16:252-8.

Bracco P, Deregibus A, Piscetta R. Effects of different jaw relations on postural stability in human subjects. Neurosci Lett 2004;356:228-30.

- 16. Braun BL. Postural differences between asymptomatic men and women and craniofacial pain patients. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1991;72:653-6.
- 17. Brenman HS, Amsterdam M. Postural effects on occlusion. Dent Prog 1963;4:43-7.
- Browne PA, Clark GT, Kuboki T, Adachi NY. Concurrent cervical and craniofacial pain.A review of empiric and basic science evidence.OralSurg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1998;86:633-40.
- Burwell RG. Aetiology of idiopathic scoliosis: current concepts Pediatr Rehabil 2004;6:137-70.
- Campos AA, Nathanson D, Rose L. Reproducibility and condylar position of a physiologic maxillomandibular centric relation in upright and supine body position. J Prosthet Dent 1996;76:282-7.
- 21. Chapman RJ. Occlusal contact variation with changes in head position. Int J Prosthodont 1991;4:377-81.
- 22. Ciancaglini R. Proceedings of Research Forum. Posture, Occlusion and General Health. Milan, 1997.
- 23. Ciancaglini R. Proceedings of Research Forum. Posture and Occlusion: hypothesis of correlation, Consensus Conference ten yearsafter. Milan 2008 (in press).
- De Mayo T. Breathing type and body position effects onsternocleidomastoid and suprahyoid EMG activity. J Oral Rehabil 2005;32:487-94.

- D'Attilio M, Caputi S, Epifania E, Festa F, Tecco S. Evaluation ofcervical posture of children in skeletal Class I, II, and III. Cranio 2005;23:219-28.
- 26. Kraus H. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain. GP 1952;4:45-60.
- 27. Huggare JA, Raustia AM. Head posture and cervico vertebral and craniofacial dysfunction. J Craniomandibular Practice 1992;10:173-9.
- Gelb H. Clinical management of head and neck and TMJ pain and dysfunction. Philadelphia:saunders 1985.
- 29. Travel JG. Simonsmyofacial pain and dysfunction, trigger point manual Williams and willkins 1983.